

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Rochelle, Illinois; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Coral Schoonejans, Englewood + 1 (303) 721-4948; coral.schoonejans@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Ying Huang, San Francisco + 1 (415) 371 5008; ying.huang@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Credit Highlights

Outlook

Related Research

Summary:

Rochelle, Illinois; General Obligation

Credit Profile

US\$4.67 mil GO bonds (elec sys alternate rev source) ser 2023 due 05/01/2038

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	New
Rochelle GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Upgraded
Rochelle GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Upgraded
Rochelle GO (AGM)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA-(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded
Rochelle GO (AGM)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA-(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded
Rochelle GO (AGM)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA-(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded
Rochelle GO (AGM)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA-(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded
Rochelle GO (BAM)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA-(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Credit Highlights

- S&P Global Ratings raised its long-term rating and underlying rating (SPUR) to 'AA-' from 'A+' on Rochelle, Ill.'s general obligation (GO) bonds and debt certificates outstanding.
- At the same time, we assigned our 'AA-' long-term rating to the city's approximately \$4.7 million series 2023 GO bonds (electric system alternative revenue source).
- The outlook is stable.
- The rating action reflects our view of the city's improved reserve position that we anticipate will remain a strength over the two-year outlook horizon, its growing local economy, and its improved pension funded status with plans to close the pension funding gap by 2040.

Security

An unlimited-tax GO pledge and pledged revenue secure the series 2023 bonds. According to the bond ordinance, the city will abate the annual property tax debt-service levy only to the extent that electric system revenue is on deposit at the time of abatement. Our rating reflects the unlimited-tax GO pledge, as we have insufficient information to rate to the electric system revenue pledge under our criteria.

The city's GO debt certificates outstanding are payable from legally available funds of the city, and we rate these

certificates on par with the city GO rating as we see no unusual limits on the fungibility of resources with which to make debt payments and believe that our assessment of the city's general creditworthiness captures its ability and willingness to pay.

Credit overview

Reserves have greatly improved after six consecutive operating surpluses, with another surplus estimated for fiscal 2022 and no plans to draw down reserves over the next two years. Despite originally budgeting for a deficit, the city estimates a robust surplus in fiscal 2022 of \$1.7 million, or 14% of estimated expenditures, largely as a result of positive variances in sales tax, state income tax, and personal property replacement tax revenue. Based on estimated results, the reserve position on an unaudited basis grew to \$10.9 million, or 90% of estimated operating expenditures, in 2022. The 2023 budget reflects a deficit, but officials anticipate outperforming budget based on increased sales tax collections year to date.

Although the city routinely achieves strong budgetary performance most years, leading to a very strong available fund balance, in our view, economic weaknesses limit further upward rating potential. In particular, we view the city's 37% leading taxpayer concentration and below-average per capita effective buying income as constraining rating factors. Debt service, pension, and other postemployment benefit payments in 2021 totaled 19.8% of total governmental funds expenditures, which is somewhat elevated, in our view, and represents an additional constraining rating factor. However, the city exceeded 100% of our minimum funding progress across all pension plans in 2021, which we believe will continue given additional annual contributions for both single-employer plans and discount rates of 6.75%.

The 'AA-' rating reflects our view of the city's:

- Routine general fund operational surpluses that have led to very strong and sizable available general fund reserves with no plans to spend down over the next two years
- Good financial management practices and policies under our financial management assessment framework--reflecting conservative budgeting practices, robust budget reporting and long-term capital planning, and a formal fund balance policy of maintaining a minimum of 10% of operating expenditures in reserves and transferring balances in excess of 40% to the capital fund to support pay-as-you-go projects that the city plans to undergo over the next three to five years--and an institutional framework score we consider adequate.
- Adequate debt and contingent liability profile with no near-term debt plans and pension funding ratios that have improved in recent years. The city funds above and beyond its actuarially determined contributions by allocating the entirety of video gaming revenue to its single-employer firefighters and police pension plans, which were funded at 87% (with a net pension liability of \$1.9 million) and 70% (\$6.1 million), respectively as of Dec. 31, 2021. The city adopted a resolution approving these transfers until at least 100% funding is achieved.
- Taxpayer concentration, coupled with relatively low income metrics, although we note a significant industrial and commercial presence in the city and additional growth anticipated through railroad expansion and new businesses coming on line

Environmental, social, and governance

We have assessed environmental, social, and governance factors relative to the city's economy, budgetary outcomes, management, and debt and liability profile, and view them as neutral in our credit rating analysis.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view that the city will maintain a robust liquidity and reserve position while continuing to contribute above and beyond its actuarially determined contributions for its single-employer defined pension benefit plans. While officials anticipate some spend-down in reserves over the next three to five years, we anticipate that healthy reserves and at least adequate pension funded ratios will be maintained given management strengths and at least a stable local economy.

Downside scenario

We could take negative rating action if budgetary performance were to significantly weaken, resulting in reserves falling to a level below the city's policy ceiling of 40% of operating expenditures, or if the city were to discontinue its practice of over-contributing to its single-employer pension plans prior to a well-funded status.

Upside scenario

We could take positive rating action if taxpayer concentration were to moderate and if income, which is below average, were to improve, coupled with continued very strong reserves.

Rochelle key credit metrics				
	Most recent	Historical information		
		2021	2020	2019
Weak economy				
Projected per capita EBI as % of U.S.	84			
Market value per capita (\$)	101,214			
Population		8,715	8,835	8,918
County unemployment rate (%)		5.8		
Market value (\$000s)	882,081	779,310	764,610	675,897
Ten largest taxpayers as % of taxable value	37.2			
Strong budgetary performance				
Operating fund result as % of expenditures		9.2	10.4	5.9
Total governmental funds result as % of expenditures		3.6	5.8	9.9
Very strong budgetary flexibility				
Available reserves as % of operating expenditures		85.9	81.9	34.2
Total available reserves (\$000s)		9,287	8,265	3,483
Very strong liquidity				
Total government cash as % of governmental funds expenditures		314	282	164
Total government cash as % of governmental funds debt service		4489	3975	5918
Strong management				
Financial management assessment	Good			
Adequate debt and long-term liabilities				
Debt service as % of governmental funds expenditures		7.0	7.1	2.8
Net direct debt as % of governmental funds revenue	168			

Rochelle key credit metrics (cont.)

	Most recent	Historical information		
		2021	2020	2019
Overall net debt as % of market value	4.6			
Direct debt 10-year amortization (%)	71			
Required pension contribution as % of governmental funds expenditures		12.2		
OPEB actual contribution as % of governmental funds expenditures		0.6		

Adequate institutional framework

Note: Data points and ratios may reflect analytical adjustments. EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits.

Related Research

- Criteria Guidance: Assessing U.S. Public Finance Pension And Other Postemployment Obligations For GO Debt, Local Government GO Ratings, And State Ratings, Oct. 7, 2019
- Through The ESG Lens 3.0: The Intersection Of ESG Credit Factors And U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, March 2, 2022
- 2022 Update Of Institutional Framework For U.S. Local Governments

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.